

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/803,665	JOSEPH, JOHN G.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mitra Aryanpour	3711	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Mitra Aryanpour.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Matthew Jenkins.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 February 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1-3, 5, 13, 27-30, 32-34*

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The above claims have been neither amended or cancelled in order to overcome informalities. Please see Examiner's Amendment for details.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**MITRA ARYANPOUR**  
 (Examiner/SPLE Signatory)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)